

Working Paper Series

Comprehending Corporate Brands

John Balmer
Edmund Gray

Working Paper No 02/33

November 2002

The working papers are produced by the Bradford University School of Management and are to be circulated for discussion purposes only. Their contents should be considered to be preliminary. The papers are expected to be published in due course, in a revised form and should not be quoted without the author's permission.

COMPREHENDING CORPORATE BRANDS

John M.T. Balmer

Bradford School of Management,
West Yorkshire,
England

And

Edmund R. Gray

Loyola Marymount University,
Los Angeles,
USA.

Keywords: Brand Typology, Corporate Brands,
Corporate Identity, Corporate-Level-Marketing,
Resourced Based View of the Firm.

ABSTRACT

This article examines the nature, importance, typology, and management of corporate brands. We argue though that making a distinction between corporate brands, corporate identities, and product brands the underlying characteristics of corporate brands can be uncovered. A key thesis of our article is that a corporate brand is a valuable resource: one that provides an entity with a sustainable, competitive advantage if specific criteria are met.

INTRODUCTION

This article examines the nature, importance, typology, and management of corporate brands. We argue though that making a distinction between corporate brands, corporate identities, and product brands the underlying characteristics of corporate brands can be uncovered. A key thesis of our article is that a corporate brand is a valuable resource: one that provides an entity with a sustainable, competitive advantage if specific criteria are met. These criteria are defined in terms of an economic theory known as, "the resource-based view of the firm." An affirmation of this economic doctrine requires corporate brands to be *rare, durable, in appropriable, imperfectly imitable, and imperfectly substitutable*. We also contend that the traditional tripartite, branding typology be expanded to reflect the new modes in which corporate brands are being utilised. These new corporate branding categories are: *familial, shared, surrogate, supra, multiplex, and federal*. Finally, we reason that the management of a corporate brand requires the orchestration of six "identity types". The critical identity type is the "*covenanted identity*" because it underpins the corporate brand. The covenanted identity comprises a set of expectations relating to an organisation's products/services and activities. Internally, it acts as a standard against which an employee/employer's actions can be evaluated. We argue that employees are crucial to the success, and maintenance, of corporate brands. We speculate that the current interest in corporate brands is redolent of a new dynamic in marketing. As such, corporate brands are symptomatic of the increased importance accorded to corporate-level concerns and concepts. This interest in corporate-level concerns should, we conclude, form the basis of a new branch of marketing: one that weft and weaves the concepts of corporate identity, image, reputation, communications along with corporate branding. We concur with Balmer and Greyser (2003) argue that this area should be known as corporate-level-marketing.

CORPORATE BRANDS: WHAT ARE THEY?

Adored, venerated and coveted by customers and organisations alike corporate brands represent one of the most fascinating phenomena of the business environment in the 21st century (Olins 2000, Lewis 2000, Pavit 2000, Balmer 2001, 2001a, Bickerton 2001, Gray and Balmer 2001, Hatch and Schultz 2001, McDonald, DeChernatony, and Harris 2001, Ind 2001, Newman 2001, Simoes and Dibb 2001, Balmer and Greyser 2003). Their importance is irrefutable. Brands, in their various guises, are integral to our everyday existence (Sherry 1995).

This is particularly the case at the organisational level where the concept of the corporate brand now enjoys wide currency in business parlance. There is an increasing realisation that corporate brands serve as a powerful navigational tool to a variety of stakeholders for a miscellany of purposes including employment, investment and, most importantly, consumer buying behaviour. Corporate brands appear to be invested with the Midas touch.

There are a number of schools relating to corporate branding and to branding generally with brands being seen as (a) marks denoting ownership, (b) image - building devises, (c) symbols associated with key values, (d) means by which to construct individual identities and, (e) a conduit by which pleasurable experiences may be consumed.

(a) smarks denoting ownership

Traditionally, corporate brands were viewed from the sender-end of the communications equation. In its simplest sense a brand denotes a name, logotype or trademark denoting ownership (Barwise, Dunham and Ritson 2000). The importance of corporate marks of ownership can be seen in relation to coats of arms. From the earliest times these heraldic symbols have (when properly matriculated) have been accorded legal protection by the state. In both Scotland and England heraldic courts of law are an integral part of both countries legal systems: the conferment of full coats of arms is still sought and coveted by many institutions: Universities are a case in point (Baker and Balmer 1997).

(b) image-building devises

Later on, branding was associated with corporate image building. However, branding was still at the sender end of the equation and was something that was *done* to the consumer. Galbraith (1986 pp.29-30) mirrored this "top-down" view of branding with his comment that, "By art and reiteration people are persuaded to believe in the peculiar conviviality associated with a particular brand."

(c) symbols associated with key values

The recent literature on corporate branding emphasises the importance of brand values: a brand is seen to encapsulate the additional values that are inherent or associated with the corporation and its products and services. This is the dominant perspective on the area (DeChernatony 1999, Tilley 1999, Urde 1999). As such, corporate brands are seen as a

guarantee of quality, as an insurance against risk of poor performance or financial risk.

(d) means by which to construct individual identities

Increasingly, branding is considered from the *consumer-end* of the equation. As such the consumption of brands by consumers defines who they are, wish to be and or wish to be seen (Kay 1995, Elliot and Wattanausuan 1998, Newman 2001). Simoes and Dibb (2001) conclude that our post-modern consumer culture consumers realise that brands are vital in the construction of individual identities.

(e) a conduit by which pleasurable experiences may be consumed

Schmitt (1999) adopts a somewhat novel approach. He argues that branding (and marketing generally) should be concerned with creating pleasurable consumer experiences.

Of course, the above approaches are not mutually exclusive. To us, it seems possible that many of not all of the characteristics may apply. For the main, the above schools of thought relate to product brands and, as such, accord primary importance to consumers. We argue, however, that corporate brands are crucial to a myriad of stakeholder groups. For example, corporate brands would appear to play a pivotal role in the construction of identities by many groups including employees (the esteem accrued to a new graduate who works for Ferrari), suppliers (the cachet of sourcing products for an exclusive retail emporium such as London's Fortnum and Mason) and governments (the city of Edinburgh's self-image as a major European city being underscored with the introduction of a direct air link to Frankfurt by Lufthansa.)

In summary, corporate brands have a utility in several regards: they communicate the brand's values (often seen as a promise), they afford a means of differentiation from their competitors, and they enhance the esteem and loyalty in which the organisation is held by its stakeholder groups (Balmer 2001 a).

CORPORATE BRANDS:

THEY ARE MORE THAN MEETS THE EYE

The importance and value of corporate brands has, to varying degrees, been alluded to in the literature for some considerable time (*cf.*, Hotchkiss and Franken 1923, Kennedy 1977). However, it was around the early 1990s that

several leading branding and communications consultants explicitly mentioned (Bernstein 1989) and then went on to assess (King 1991) what was then called the *company brand*. They appreciated, from the outset, that the most important brand was the company brand and that its' day-to-day responsibility resided with no less a person than the organisation's chief executive. (Bernstein 1989, pp. 317-318).

Among the most telling contributions to this oeuvre was that of the branding specialist Stephen King (1991). His article entitled, "*Brand Building in the 1990s*" delineated some of the differences between product and company brands. He reasoned that the company brand required a multidisciplinary approach with the Human Resources Department playing a vital role. As did Bernstein (1989) he argued that it fell to the CEO to manage the company brand. Alas, these early attempts to provide a fillip to company branding were largely in vain. Despite the penetrating luminosity of King's work, his insights were all-too-soon forgotten and the notion of the company as a brand largely remained a forlorn idea. Its time had not yet come and his notion of the *company brand* was not to take flight.

The hiatus that followed King's (1991) article appears to have been broken around 1995. This time there were to be two crucial differences. First, there was to be no abatement in interest, and second that the favoured label was not that of the company brand but rather, a more encompassing, more-strategic sounding label: *the corporate brand*. As such the concept of the corporate brand began to appear in both the title as well as in the content of articles (*viz.*, Balmer 1995). The latter half of the 1990s witnessed a gradual crescendo of writing on the corporate brand and since then it has seized the imagination of scholars and managers alike and its rise has been inexorable. After years of inhabiting the shadows, corporate brands are now spoken of with considerable ebullience.

To us, there is an overarching explanation why use of the corporate brand in the literature (*viz.*, Balmer 1995, Ind 1997) has eclipsed that of the company brand (*viz.*, Bernstein 1989, King 1991). It is that brands, at the corporate-level, are not simply limited to the overall organisation. A wide variety of corporate entities have brands including corporations, their subsidiaries, and also groups of companies (corporate branding networks). Moreover, corporate-level brands can also apply to countries, regions, and cities. As such, the

concept "corporate brand" may be seen to mirror the complexity of the field in a manner that the company brand concept does not.

The ensuing interest in the corporate brand can be seen in the writing of practitioners (Macrae 1996, Mitchell 1997, Ind 1997, 1998, 2001, Olins 2000) as well as in the academic literature (Balmer 1995, 2001, 2001a, Keller 1998, Keller and Aaker 1998, DeChernatony 1999, Maathuis 1999, Knox, Maklan and Thompson 2000, Bickerton 2001, Gjols-Andersen 2001, Gray and Balmer 2001, Harris and DeChernatony 2001, Newman 2001).

Some of the early academic work in the area (whether writing about company or corporate brands) reached broadly similar set of suppositions. The importance of staff in corporate brand building was emphasised, as was culture. The role of the chief executive as brand manager was stressed and the efficacy of multidisciplinary approach was advocated. In one apocryphal statement it was argued that the new millennium would witness increased importance being assigned to the corporate brand (Balmer 1995).

One trait of the early writing on company and corporate brands still characterises the contemporary literature. This manifests itself in the interchangeable use of the terms corporate branding and corporate identity. King (1991) disliked the corporate identity concept because he believed that graphic designers had debased it and consequently he studiously avoided all but passing reference to it. Conversely, in the early 1990s the term company brand carried few associations with graphic design.

A disconcerting trend from the world of consultancy over recent years has been the adoption of the term corporate branding by graphic design consultancies in describing their work and profession: this can only have a pernicious effect on the area. In part, this is understandable since both brands and identities have historically been regarded in visual terms. In the lead article of the *RSA Journal*, Aldersey-Williams (2000) castigated the graphic design approach to identity with his pithy remark that, "Designers talk strategy but like pretty shapes and colours". The same accusation can be levelled against those who, chameleon-like, have metamorphosed overnight into authorities on corporate branding. Whilst there has been a change of name there has not been attendant transformation in *raison d'être*: the industry still

has a strong graphic design rather than a truly multidisciplinary derivation.

Alas, marketing scholars and others have largely ignored the challenges presented by corporate brand management. Various authors have argued that it requires a radical if not revolutionary reappraisal of branding and, moreover, of the marketing discipline generally (*viz.*, King 1991, Balmer 1998, 2001, Balmer and Greyser 2003). The extent of this myopia can be seen in marketing and in some branding texts which, although acknowledging the existence of corporate brands, fail to take cognisance that (a) corporate brands are fundamentally different from product brands in terms of disciplinary scope and management and (b) that corporate brands have a multi-stakeholder rather than customer orientation and (c) that the traditional marketing framework is inadequate and requires a radical reappraisal. Again, these differences have only emerged in the literature over the last decade or so (King 1991, Balmer 1995, 2001, Balmer and Greyser 2003).

Fortunately the negatives have been assuaged by other, more positive, developments. This can be seen in the widespread use of the branding argot which, when applied to corporate entities, is far removed from its origins as a *patois* spoken by marketers. Evidence of this can be found in the work of economists such as John Kay (1995), organisational behaviourists such as Hatch and Schultz (2001) and strategists such as Gray *et al* (2001).

One characteristic of the corporate branding literature is the symbiosis of thought. As such, there appears to be a growing consensus as to the basic tenets the concept. Balmer (2001a) developed the mnemonic CCITE (C²ITE) in an attempt to reflect the distinctive attributes of corporate brands. Exhibit one, using the C²ITE mnemonic, illustrates the similarity of thought within the literature.

EXHIBIT ONE: CORPORATE BRAND CHARACTERISTICS

| CHARACTERISTICS OF CORPORATE BRANDS (C2ITE) <i>BALMER (2001)</i> | Referred to in the literature by: |
|--|---|
| <p>CULTURAL (Corporate brands tend to have strong "cultural roots". An organisation's distinctiveness invariably finds one of its sources in the mix of sub cultures found within organisations. These consist of corporate, professional, "national", and other types of culture.)</p> | <p><i>Balmer (1995, 2001a), De Chernatony, (1999), Hankinson and Hankinson (1999) Hatch and Schultz (2001) Johansson and Hirano (1999) Mitchell (1999)</i></p> |
| <p>INTRICATE (Inherently intricate in nature: it is multidisciplinary and dimensional in that it impacts your many stakeholder groups and methods and is made known via multiple channels of communication ^{[1]: Also see Balmer and Gray 1999)}</p> | <p><i>Balmer (2001, 2001a), De Chernatony, (1999), King (1991), Hatch and Schultz (2001), Keller (1999), Mitchell (1999), Olins (2000) Schmitt (1999) Urde (1994, 1999)</i></p> |
| <p>TANGIBLE (Includes: product/service quality, business scope, geographical coverage, performance-related issues, profit margins, pay scales etc. Also includes architecture, logos, etc.)</p> | <p><i>Balmer (2001), DeChernatony (1999) Johansson and Hirano (1999) King (1991), Mitchell (1999), Schmitt (1999), Urde (1999)</i></p> |
| <p>ETHEREAL (Includes elements such as "lifestyle and style of "delivery". Also encompasses brand associations. For instance, there are often emotional responses to elements associated with the brand such as country-of-origin/industry.)</p> | <p><i>Balmer (2001a), DeChernatony (1999) Hatch and Schultz (2001), Johansson and Hirano (1999) Keller (1999), Mitchell (1999), Schmitt (1999) Urde (1999)</i></p> |
| <p>Also requires COMMITMENT (From all personnel. Senior management need to devote sufficient in resources such as on going financial and communications support. An important aspect of this characteristic is that a corporate brand should illicit commitment from a variety of stakeholder groups and networks)</p> | <p><i>Balmer (1995, 2001a), De Chernatony (1999), Hatch and Schultz (2001) Johansson and Hirano (1999) King (1991) Urde (1999)</i></p> |

[1] Kay (1995 p.261) notes that Price Waterhouse developed a powerful corporate brand in a period where advertising was prohibited on so-called 'ethical' grounds in many of the markets in which they operated. In addition, Marks and Spencer established a strong corporate brand at a time when the policy of the company was not to engage in advertising at all. To us, it is apparent that since "controllable" communication (Secondary Communication) was largely absent "non controllable" communication such as product and service quality (Primary Communication) and word-of-mouth (Tertiary Communication) played an important role and should as such be recognised. See: Balmer and Gray (1999).

CORPORATE BRANDS, CORPORATE IDENTITIES AND PRODUCT BRANDS: WHAT ARE THE DIFFERENCES?

Although corporate brands are sometimes seen to be analogous to product brands there are fundamental differences: this is an issue that has concerned writers for over a decade (King 1991, Balmer 1995, DeChernatony 1999, Olins 2000, Balmer 2001, 2001a, Balmer and Greyser 2003).

We also suggest that there are important differences between corporate brands and corporate identities. It has been observed that the concepts of corporate identity and corporate branding are often used interchangeably (Balmer 1998).

Corporate and Product Brands:

Exhibit Two illustrates the key differences between product and corporate brands. The key difference in conceptualisation is that corporate brand values tend to be grounded in the values and affinities of company founders, owners, management and personnel whereas product brand values tend to be contrived and are the product of the not inconsiderable skills of invention held by marketing and advertising creatives.

Another difference relates to the role of employees *vis a vis* corporate brands. Personnel have a crucially important role in transmitting the brand's values and as such bring them to the heart of the corporate branding process. They provide the interface between the internal and external environments (Kennedy 1977, Schneider and Bowen 1985, Hemsley 1998, Balmer and Wilkinson 1991) and help build and maintain the corporate brand (King 1991, Balmer 1995, Ambler and Barrow 1996, Harris and DeChernatony 2001, Balmer 2001, 2001a). This has particular significance for recruitment of personnel and the development of human resources in an organisation (Balmer and Wilkinson 1991, Ambler and Barrow 1996, Macrae 1996, Knox and Maklan 1998, Keller 1999, Balmer 2001a, Harris and DeChernatony 2001, Ewing, Pitt, DeBussy and Berthou 2002).

A third difference is that corporate brands are an important element of a company strategy and clearly is a senior management concern. The widespread consumer interest in brands and their economic benefit is another reason why they need to be considered when formulating strategic plans (Schocker, Stivastava, and Ruekert 1994). In contrast, product brands management is principally a concern for middle management and falls largely within the remit of marketing.

Mention can be made of the literature on services brands, which in the context of the literature on product brands and the recent literature on corporate branding represents a crucially important interim stage of development. The literature on services branding shares important similarities with the literature on corporate branding because it demonstrated that services branding is different from product branding (Levy 1996, Stuart 1997) in that services branding involves multiple interfaces (Bitner, Booms and Mohr 1994) and that employees are of crucial importance to the process (Harris and DeChernatony 2001, McDonald, DeChernatony and Harris 2001). However, services branding, by its very nature, applies only to one category of corporate brands and would exclude corporate brands such as Nestle, BP, and Philips.

**EXHIBIT TWO. A COMPARISON BETWEEN PRODUCT AND CORPORATE BRANDS
(ADAPTED FROM BALMER 2001A)**

| | PRODUCT BRANDS | CORPORATE BRANDS |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY | BRAND MANAGER | CHIEF EXECUTIVE |
| FUNCTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY | MARKETING | MOST/ALL DEPARTMENTS |
| GENERAL RESPONSIBILITY | MARKETING PERSONNEL | ALL PERSONNEL |
| DISCIPLINARY ROOTS | MARKETING | MULTIDISCIPLINARY/ |
| BRAND GESTATION | SHORT | MEDIUM TO LONG |
| STAKEHOLDER FOCUS | CONSUMERS | MULTIPLE STAKEHOLDERS |
| VALUES | CONTRIVED | REAL |
| COMMUNICATIONS CHANNELS | THE MARKETING COMMUNICATIONS MIX | TOTAL CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS Primary: <i>Performance of Products & Services; Organisational Policies; Behaviour of CEO and Senior Management; Experience of Personnel & discourse by personnel</i> Secondary: <i>Marketing & other forms of controlled communication</i> Tertiary: <i>Word of Mouth</i> |
| DIMENSIONS REQUIRING ALIGNMENT | BRAND VALUES (<i>covenant</i>), PRODUCT PERFORMANCE, COMMUNICATION EXPERIENCE/IMAGE AND REPUTATION CONSUMER COMMITMENT ENVIRONMENT (<i>political, economic, ethical, social, technological</i>) | BRAND VALUES (<i>covenant</i>) IDENTITY (corporate attributes/sub cultures) CORPORATE STRATEGY VISION (AS HELD BY THE CEO AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT) COMMUNICATION EXPERIENCE/IMAGE AND REPUTATION STAKEHOLDERS COMMITMENT (<i>internal and external constituencies</i>) ENVIRONMENT (<i>political, economic, ethical, social, technological</i>) |

CORPORATE BRANDS AND CORPORATE IDENTITIES

Although the two concepts are often used interchangeably this masks the fact that there are critical differences between them. The corporate identity concept, as we see it, refers to the distinct attributes of an organisation and as such addresses the questions "What are we?" and "Who are we?" As such, it encompasses issues such as business scope and culture among others (cf., Balmer and Greyser 2003). Following this perspective, there are important difference between the concepts of the corporate identity and the corporate brand.

The first and most fundamental difference is that the identity concept is applicable to *all* entities. Yet, not every entity has, plans to have, wants or even needs a corporate brand. As such a corporate identity is a *necessary* concept whereas a corporate brand is *contingent*. For instance, the necessity or desirability of having or maintaining a corporate brand will be reliant upon a host of other factors such as the organisation's strategy (the firm may operate in markets where corporate brands are redundant or where there is a monopoly or where the markets are commoditised. The need for corporate brands within some parts of the public sector may not be as strong although in others it most certainly is: the university sector is a case in point regarding the latter.)

Consider the comparison made twenty years ago by two US corporations: Bechtel and Coca-Cola the fixed assets Bechtel were worth \$6 billion whilst those of Coca-Cola were worth only \$5 billion. Both companies had a similar number of employees (around 30,000). Coca-Cola was world-renowned, whereas Bechtel (a construction company) was generally unknown to the general public. However, in book value Bechtel was by far the more valuable of the two corporations in market value (Diefenbach 1982). The reason was clear-Coca Cola had maintained a highly valuable corporate brand. However, an examination of both entities in terms of their corporate brand value would almost certainly have resulted in a different scenario. Recent research identified Coca Cola to be the world's most valuable corporate brand. The corporation, in its entirety, was estimated to be worth \$142 billion: \$84 billion of this sum is attributable to the goodwill associated with the corporate brand. This represents a remarkable 59% of the total book value of the company according to Interbrand/Citibank (in Barwise, Dunham and Ritson 2000 p.93.)

The analysis of what is and what is not a corporate brand is far from easy task however. Olins (2001 pp. 490-491) observes that British Airways, Orange and Manchester United (football/soccer club) are examples of organisations with clear corporate brands. However, he argues that Daimler Chrysler is *not* a corporate brand since the two brands (Daimler and Chrysler) have become separated from the new corporation's identity. In addition he notes that for organisations such as Unilever, Procter and Gamble and Diageo that have invested heavily in their product brands the task of corporate brand building will be difficult.

The difference between the two concepts can be discussed in terms of their different values. There has been a good deal of discussion about the values of corporate brands (DeChernatony 1999, Urde 1997) but there appears to be little discussion of the differences between corporate brands and corporate identities. We make the distinction between the two concepts by arguing that an organisation's corporate brand values are a supra set of values that may inhabit one, or indeed, *several* organisations. Typically, corporate brand values are clearly articulated, concise, well defined and distinct. They are broadly constant over time and are discernible via corporate behaviours and activities. They require total organisational commitment, especially on the part of personnel. All facets of organisational life should be congruent with these values. However, there are other values and these are to be found in the *identity* of the organisation

An organisation's identity encompasses a bundle of values that are derived from a federation of sub cultures, which are found within *and outside* the organisation (Balmer and Wilson 1998): they continually evolve and are amorphous. These mix of values, to a considerable degree, give an organisation its distinctiveness.

Exhibit Three makes a comparison between the concepts of corporate branding and corporate identity.

EXHIBIT THREE. A COMPARISON BETWEEN CORPORATE IDENTITIES AND CORPORATE BRANDS

| | CORPORATE IDENTITY | CORPORATE BRANDS |
|---|---|---|
| NECESSARY OR CONTINGENT? | NECESSARY | <i>CONTINGENT</i> |
| APPLICABLE TO ALL ORGANISATIONS? | YES | <i>NO</i> |
| STABILITY OF ATTRIBUTES | CONSTANTLY EVOLVING | <i>RELATIVELY STABLE</i> |
| APPLICABILITY | NORMALLY A SINGLE ENTITY | <i>NORMALLY A SINGLE ENTITY BUT CAN BE MULTIPLE</i> |
| MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY | CEO | <i>CEO</i> |
| FUNCTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY | ALL FUNCTIONS | <i>ALL FUNCTIONS</i> |
| DISCIPLINARY ROOTS | MULTIDISCIPLINARY | <i>MULTIDISCIPLINARY</i> |
| PRINCIPAL DRIVERS | STRATEGY, CULTURE, VISION | <i>BRANDING COVENANT, CULTURE</i> |
| GESTATION | SHORT | <i>MEDIUM/LONG</i> |
| STAKEHOLDER FOCUS | MAINLY INTERNAL. EXTERNAL STAKEHOLDERS VARY IN IMPORTANCE DEPENDING ON STRATEGY | <i>MAINLY EXTERNAL. INTERNAL STAKEHOLDERS ALSO IMPORTANT</i> |
| DESIRED PROFILE AMONG INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL STAKEHOLDER GROUPS | VARIABLE: LOW TO HIGH | <i>NORMALLY HIGH</i> |
| IMPORTANCE OF CONTROLLED COMMUNICATION | VARIABLE | <i>NORMALLY CRUCIAL</i> |
| IMPORTANCE OF ADVERTISING & VISUAL IDENTITY | VARIABLE | <i>NORMALLY CRUCIAL</i> |
| KEY ELEMENTS | CULTURE (SUB CULTURES), STRATEGY, STRUCTURE, COMMUNICATION, PERFORMANCE, PERCEPTION | <i>THE BRANDING COVENANT, COMMUNICATION PLUS OTHER IDENTITY ELEMENTS SUCH AS</i> |
| KEY DIMENSIONS REQUIRING ALIGNMENT | ORGANISATIONAL ATTRIBUTES - (including sub cultures)/ COMMUNICATION/PERCEPTION | <i>CORPORATE BRAND COVENANT/COMMUNICATION PLUS OTHER IDENTITY ELEMENTS (including sub cultures)</i> |
| PORTABILITY | NORMALLY DIFFICULT | <i>VARIABLE</i> |
| FINANCIAL GOODWILL | VARIABLE | <i>CAN BE VERY HIGH INDEED</i> |

THE CORPORATE BRAND COVENANT

It has been argued that at the core of a corporate brand is an explicit covenant between an organisation and its key stakeholder groups, including customers (Balmer 2001, 2001a). In the literature this covenant is often referred to in terms of "a promise" (Macrae 1991, Johnsson and Hirano 1999, Mitchell 1999, Tilley 1999). Frequently, this promise is encapsulated in the form of three words that combine the brand functions with descriptive and emotional modifiers, which Keller (1999 p.43) describes as "Brand Mantras". Examples of the former include, "authentic athletic performance" (Nike) and "Fun, Family Entertainment" (Disney). Typically, the covenant is asserted by an organisation's senior management in terms of a clearly articulated corporate branding proposition. It is promoted via multiple channels of communication, and is experienced through the organisation's products and services corporate, and, most importantly, through staff behaviour. Some organisations have gone so far as to prescribe a set of "laws" relating to the management and expression of the corporate brand. The Codex promulgated by Mercedes Benz for their global brand being a case in point (Schmitt and Ludlow 2002). In Sweden, Volvo takes care to emphasise not only what should be communicated but what should not. The brand's association with safety means that we should not expect to see a Volvo appearing in a car chase (Tilley 1999 p.189).

A corporate brand may be viewed as a contract in that the company needs to articulate its accord with its key stakeholders by demonstrating, unceasingly and over-time, that it has kept true to its corporate branding pledge. As such, the brand name and or logo play an important part in creating awareness and recognition but also as "signs" of assurance. However, a number of authorities have cautioned against seeing branding as a one-way process that affects the image of those engaged in some form of branding partnership such as customers and employees. This is because these groups also have a key role in defining a brand's image (Kapferer 1977, Johansson and Hirano 1999).

The importance of the corporate brand covenant is such it may be viewed as a distinct identity type (See: Balmer and Greyser 2002). As such, its management requires alignment between five other identity types - actual, communicated, conceived, ideal and desired- (Balmer 2001b). Moreover, in organisations having corporate brands the above identity elements need to be brought

into alignment with the covenanted identity (the underlying *raison d'être* of the corporate brand). The same is true whether the corporate brand inhabits one or many organisations.

However, organisations do not always appreciate the nature of the covenant underpinning their corporate brand. Research undertaken by Opinion Research Corporation International (1999) of one hundred global brands found that many organisations had failed to articulate a positioning strategy for their corporate brand: this mitigated against these brands realising their full potential.

THE NEW CORPORATE BRANDING ARCHITECTURE

The recent importance attached to corporate brands and their management should in our estimation result in a corollary concern: corporate brand architecture (LaForet and Saunders 1994, Kapferer 1997, Aaker and Joachimsthaler 2000.) Brand architecture refers to the relationships among and between corporate, company (subsidiary), and product brands. Such relationships embrace products and services, or a mixture of the two across the hierarchy of brands. However, such relationships are no longer restricted within the confines of a single corporation

Olins (1978) well-known categorization of brands according to a tripartite structure: monolithic, endorsed or branded although hugely influential is somewhat dated. Contemporary organisations face new and more complex challenges. Brand management has not only become more important but also more intricate and complex. One of these challenges is that new branding categories have emerged: increasingly, this reflects the increasing incidence of shared corporate brands and the rise of networks centred on a corporate brand. In our analysis of the current business environment has resulted in the identification of six, new corporate/ trans-corporate categorisations and a greatly extended branding architecture (see Exhibit Four).

EXHIBIT FOUR: NEW CORPORATE BRANDING CATEGORIES

| NEW CORPORATE BRAND CATEGORY: | EXPLANATION | EXAMPLE |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| FAMILIAL | The sharing/adoption of the same corporate brand by two entities within the same industry or sector . There is, sometimes, a geographical distinction. | <i>HILTON (UK)</i> <i>HILTON (USA)</i> <i>SHELL (UK)</i> <i>SHELL (NETHERLANDS)</i> |
| SHARED | As above, but operating in distinct and sometimes related, markets. Not usually geographically distinct. | <i>ROLLS ROYCE (Engineering/Aero Engines) (UK)</i> <i>ROLLS ROYCE (car subsidiary of BMW)</i> <i>VOLVO (commercial vehicles, engineering - Sweden)</i> <i>VOLVO (car subsidiary of Ford USA)</i> |
| SURROGATE | A franchise arrangement whereby one organisation's products/services are branded as that of another. | <i>BRITISH REGIONAL AIRWAYS</i> <i>USE OF THE BRITISH AIRWAYS BRAND</i> |
| SUPRA | A quasi, "arch", brand used to supra endorse company brands. Particularly common within the airline sector. Unlike ordinary corporate brands this branding category is derived from several rather than from a single corporate entity. As such, its "ethereal" and "virtual" qualities are greater. | <i>"ONE WORLD" AIRLINE ALLIANCE</i> <i>"STAR" AIRLINE ALLIANCE</i> <i>QUALIFIER GROUP AIRLINE ALLIANCE</i> |
| MULTIPLEX | Multiple uses and, possibly, multiple ownership/rights of a corporate brand among a variety of entities in a variety of industry sectors. (Similar to the Japanese Keiretsu philosophy) | <i>VIRGIN</i> <i>VIRGIN ATLANTIC AIRWAYS</i> <i>VIRGIN TRAINS</i> <i>VIRGIN (FINANCIAL SERVICES)</i> |
| FEDERAL | The creation of a new corporate brand by separate companies who pool their resources in a joint venture, to, in effect, create a new identity/company. | <i>AIRBUS CONSORTIUM</i> |

CORPORATE BRANDS AND THE RESOURCE-BASED VIEW OF THE CORPORATION⁽¹⁾

The resource-based view of the firm helps us understand why corporate branding imparts long-lasting value. This economic theory is based on the proposition that firms are heterogeneous in terms of their resources and internal capabilities, and that these resources and capabilities can provide the basis for superior performance if they meet specific criteria. The first criterion is that they must be strategically valuable in the sense that they give the corporation some advantage over its competitors. For example, a resource such as a new process technology might generate lower operating costs and higher margins. Such a resource, however, renders only fleeting above average profits unless the advantage is sustainable over a relatively long period. To accomplish this, the theory posits that the resource must meet the criteria of being rare, durable, inappropriable, imperfectly imitable, and imperfectly substitutable.

The sustainable valuable resource must be rare in the sense that a competitor cannot easily purchase it in the marketplace. Durable means that the resource's value does not depreciate rapidly. Inappropriability implies that the company that owns the resource can capture the major share of the profits that flow from it. Imperfect imitability denotes that it is extremely difficult for a competitor to internally recreate the resource. And finally, imperfect substitutability suggests that new technologies, paradigms, or business models are unlikely to render the resource in question obsolete or significantly weaker (Barney, 1991, Grant 1991, Peteraf, 1993). A strong, well-managed corporate brand, in our opinion, meets the above criteria and thereby qualifies as a sustainable valuable resource. To demonstrate this, let's look at corporate branding in light of these criteria.

Value

By establishing a corporate brand a company can distinguish and differentiate itself in minds of all its stakeholders. Similar to a product brand, a corporate brand makes the company and its espoused values easily identifiable and connotes a level of quality and consistency of performance in the minds of its target audiences. For product brands the focus is on customers and for corporate brands for stakeholders. Consequently, a corporate brand provides an umbrella of trust for the company in extending its product or service line and even in diversifying into dissimilar products and services. Corporate brands are also

transferable assets: they can be bought, and sold: they may also be coveted as the struggle by Mercedes Benz and Volkswagen over the Rolls Royce car marque testifies. Or, consider the Ford Group, which has over recent years acquired a number of prestigious corporate brands as part of its strategy to acquire a suite of up-scale brands to date it has acquired Aston Martin, Jaguar, Porsche and Volvo. Ford's acquisition of these brands may be seen to be an effective in terms of cost, time and risk aversion than attempting to build up new high-prestige brands that lack the rich brand heritage of Jaguar and the others.

An effective corporate brand often has a latent value in terms of excess capacity that can be applied to other markets (Peteraf 1993). The use of corporate brands in the launch of new products is a case in point. For instance, it has been shown that the deployment of a brand name for a new product/service increase the success rate by 20% and saves 26% on the costs of a new product launch (Newman 2001).

Disney provides a good example of the leveraging of an enviable corporate brand reputation. The corporation extended its corporate brand from animated cartoons to full-length motion pictures, and then to theme parks, cruises, speciality products, and retail stores. The Easy Group, founded in the UK by the Greek magnate, Stelios Haji-Ioannou, whose Easy brand is based on a low price, frills-free service proposition has migrated from its budget airline (EasyJet) roots, and now encompasses car hire, Internet cafes, and financial services. Another, model is that provided by Sir Richard Branson's Virgin brand which has been stretched to extraordinary lengths so as to encompass, among others, savings, sodas, spirits, gyms, as well as compact discs, trains and planes. The ubiquity of the Virgin brand is such that it appears to have suffused the entire panoply of branding types from product, through to service as well as encompassing company brands.

Of course, as pointed out by Collis and Montgomery (1995), there are limits to extending the corporate brand. To a large extent this will be dependant on the elasticity of the values underpinning the corporate brand and the degree to which the corporate brand values are industry specific. Understanding the limits of the corporate brand requires particular skill on the part of senior management: a mistake in this regard can considerably reduce the equity that has been accrued to the corporate brand. This can be seen in relation to Virgin's disastrous use

of its brand on its Railway subsidiary which until comparatively recently was characterised by its antiquated and shabby rolling stock, its poor time-keeping, slimmed-down catering services and high ticket prices. The latter provides a good example of what Greyser (1999) calls the "promise-performance" gap. Such occurrences can have a deleterious effect on a corporation's share-price.

The value of a corporate brand manifests itself in other ways. For instance, branded companies may also have an edge in finding venture partners (Barney and Hansen, 1994). Investors also seek strong Corporate Brands. The value attached to a corporate brand materially enhances the capitalisation of companies and often result in higher price-earnings ratios. Interbrand in their 1999 analysis of the world's most valuable brands concluded that 59% of Coca-Cola's, 61% of Disney's and 64% of McDonald's capitalisation was attributable to the worth of the company brand (Barwise et al 2000 p.93). The financial value of corporate brands comes to the fore during corporate takeovers. The \$12.6 billion paid by Philip Morris for the purchase of Kraft (the \$12.6 billion representing six times the book value of the company) is attributed principally to the goodwill associated with the Kraft brand name (Newman 2001 pp.410-415). In the UK the value of corporate brands have started to appear on the balance sheet of corporations.

However, placing a firm financial value on corporate brands remains controversial and there is far from agreement as to do the methodology that should be employed. Consider the discrepancy in relation to the corporate brand value of Coca Cola, which has been valued at \$15 billion by A C Nielsen and \$ 68.945 billion by Interbrand (Schmidt and Ludlow 2002 p2). What is incontrovertible is that corporate brands as well as other intangible assets can have a balance sheet value.

One of the greatest benefits of an effective corporate brand in its effect on non-product market areas such as its role in the recruitment and retention of valuable employees. Hence, the corporate brand can be of inestimable value to an organisation's Human Resources Department. This is because an organisation's corporate brand values can serve as a template against which prospective employees can be evaluated. Virgin Atlantic selects its personnel not only according to their skill or experience but also the degree to which their beliefs and personality are in alignment with the values of Virgin's corporate

brand (Mitchell 1999 p32). Waterstones, a leading UK retail book outlet, regards recruitment as an integral component of the management of their corporate brand (Ind 1997).

A corollary premise relating to the above is that human resources managers should occupy a position of pivotal importance in supporting the corporate brand (King 1991, Balmer 2001a). Finding suitable employees who have an affinity with the corporate brand would suggest that corporations benefit not only in terms of employee commitment to the corporate brand but also enjoy a demonstrable financial advantage in terms of the costs associated with recruitment, training, the employment of agency staff and the payment of overtime. The value of branding to recruiters and other human resource functions has, until comparatively recently, been seen as a characteristic of Japanese companies. Just as consumers construct identities through branding so do employees. Johansson and Hirano (1999 p.94) point out that a Toyota employee is proud to call himself a *Toyota-jin* (a Toyota-man).

The value of a corporate brand can also manifest itself in other ways. For instance, branded corporations may have an edge in finding venture partners (Barney and Hansen, 1994). In addition, a strong corporate brand can cushion a company in time of crisis (Greyser 1999). Ford, for example, because of its long-standing corporate brand reputation may have escaped consumer wrath in the tire controversy with Firestone several years ago. Of course, there are limits to stakeholder understanding, and Firestone's role in the calamity clearly exceeded these limits and the same is true to an even greater degree for Arthur Andersen's involvement in the Enron debacle.

What is clear is that the value of corporate brands takes on many forms. Research undertaken by MORI, the British opinion research consultancy, among senior managers found supports this broad proposition. Its research found that a corporate brand had a perceived value in terms of increased profile, customer attractiveness, product support, visual recognition, investor confidence, as well as in encapsulating organisational values and providing staff motivation (Lewis 2000).

We should not be surprised to find that the value of brands, in whatever their guise, has long been recognised, as the following quote testifies:

"The good will of certain well-established names and brands is valued in the millions of dollars,

and ranks high among the assets of the companies responsible for them. In the great majority of fields...practically every one discriminates between brands in making a purchase. In many lines it has come to be regarded as the simplest and surest way to obtain standard quality and service.

(Hotchkiss and Franken 1923 In Newman 2001)

Rarity

We argue that a corporate brand is rare because it is the result of a unique historical pattern of development which suffuses a corporate brand not only with a rich palette of characteristics that are functional (quality, performance, familiarity and predictability) but also with a myriad of ethereal elements that are rich in image as well as in symbolic terms. These functional and ethereal values over time are represented by what are known as corporate brand values. These values (along with the tangible elements of the corporate brand) have their roots in an organisation's identity (Balmer 2001a) as well as in its culture (Hatch and Schultz 2001). There is a growing realisation that a discussion of corporate brands cannot be undertaken in isolation from a discussion of an organisation's identity (DeChernatony 1999, Simoes and Dibb 2001, Balmer 2001, 2001a, Balmer and Greyser 2003).

The importance of culture (or more appropriately sub cultures viz, Balmer and Wilson 1998) to an organisation's identity has been recognised by identity scholars for the last decade or so (Abratt 1989, Balmer and Wilkinson 1991, Balmer and Wilson 1998, Balmer 1998, Dowling 1993, Hatch and Schultz 1997, Fiol, Hatch and Golden-Biddle 1998, Rindova and Schultz 1998.) Unsurprisingly, because of a corporate brands inextricable link with identity, the importance of culture in understanding corporate brands has also been asserted (Balmer 2001a, DeChernatony 1999, Hatch and Schultz 2001).

As a result of the above, no two brands are identical although some have similar characteristics such as Coca-Cola and Pepsi Cola. Their rarity, resulting from their complexity, and comparatively long gestation, helps explain why some corporations are willing to pay a premium price for corporate brands rather than invest time, finance and other resources in creating a subsidiary corporate brand *de novo*, as was discussed with the Ford example cited earlier.

But the corporate brand does not transplant as readily as a product brand. Conglomerates and

holding corporations typically go to great lengths to maintain the integrity of newly acquired corporate brands: Wal Mart's acquisition of the Yorkshire-based (UK) ASDA supermarket chain is a case in point as is Ford's acquisition of Jaguar and Volvo. Often, this requires that an arms-lengths approach to be adopted. A predatory acquisition can result in the annihilation of the brand and in a merger, the two corporations normally fuse their corporate brands often in the mistaken belief that they have, over night, created a new brand. Consider for example AOL TimeWarner, HBO (formerly Halifax plc and the Bank of Scotland) and DaimlerChrysler. Also, in a merger, the original brands and names sometimes disappear without trace as in the case of Hoechst and Rhone-Poulenc, which became known as Aventis.

Durability

Corporate brands are generally believed to have greater longevity than most other types of valuable resources. The realisation that the Coca Cola brand is older than the physical plant and equipment used in production is a sobering one indeed (Ritson 2000). Grant (1991) observed that corporate brands tend to decay relatively slowly; cases in point being BP, Sears Roebuck and Sony. However, there are older, more venerable examples of the longevity of corporate brands. Ancient seats of learning such as Oxford, Cambridge or the Sorbonne, and London's coterie of old-line private bankers such as Childs, Coutts, Drummonds and Hoare are just a few examples of corporate brands that have spanned the centuries.

Whilst a superior product can dramatically yield competitive advantage in our high tech milieu this advantage is all too often short lived; today's products have notoriously short life cycles. However, the values associated with a corporate brand can be enduring. This helps to explain the shift of emphasis from product line brands to corporate brands.

Maintaining the substance, credibility and values of a corporate brand is a complicated task and unremitting task. It requires continuous reinvestment (Reed and De Fillippi, 1990; Grant, 1991), and on-going support from senior management (King 1991, Balmer 1995, 2001a). Moreover, a corporate brand needs total organisational commitment assurance; congruent total corporate communication (congruent over space and over time), and fidelity to the notion that behaviour mirrors rhetoric. (Balmer 2001a, p.15).

Inappropriability

As stated above, inappropriability means that a firm cannot lose profits from a valuable resource to another entity or person. Collis and Montgomery (1995) note that certain kinds of tangible resources are always subject to bargaining by a variety of stakeholders such as customers, suppliers, distributors, and employees. Perhaps the most common example of such a resource is employees. As an illustration, highly successful securities brokers can change employers and take important clients with them or bargain to appropriate a larger share of the profit from their employers. Franchise-quality sports stars have the same capability. The corporate brand, conversely, is a very different kind of resource. Because it is largely intangible, it cannot be bargained or "spirited" away. It is a resource, which is historical and perceptual, a resource that is dependant, to a considerable degree, on perception, beliefs, and experiences. This has long been recognised by managers and consultants as the following well-known aphorism given by one senior Coca-Cola executive illustrates:

"If Coca-Cola were to lose all of its production-related assets in a disaster, the company would survive. By contrast, if all consumers were to have a sudden lapse of memory and forget everything related to Coca-Cola the company would go out of business." (Quoted in Barwise et al 2000 p.73)

Imperfect Imitability

A corporate brand is also difficult if not impossible to imitate for two major reasons. The first is that brand signifiers (names, logos, colours, music, etc.) are normally patented by the corporations. As such they are protected by law from imitation by competitors. For instance, no other company can legally use the Nike name or the "swoosh". The second and perhaps more essential reason is that the underlying substance of the corporate brand is intangible and consequently difficult to replicate.

Resource-based theorists suggest that valuable, intangible resources, which are typically rooted in a unique historical pattern of development, tend to provide enduring advantage because they are protected by one or a combination of two isolating mechanisms: (a) social complexity and (b) causal ambiguity (Reed and De Fillippi 1990). Both of these mechanisms are at work in relation to corporate branding.

(a) Social complexity. This first mechanism recognises that the resource is composed of many

interrelated elements so that few or no individuals have the depth of knowledge to comprehend the overall package. The corporate branding process involves not just the firm's formal communication system but also the many interactions a company has with its various stakeholders. These interactions include areas such as products and services, market and on-market behaviour, and human resources. Internally, personnel's affinity to a variety of sub-cultures gives an organisation's identity a distinctiveness (Balmer and Wilson 1998) that also finds expression in the corporate brand. Given the magnitude and diversity of these interactions and communications, it would be very difficult for anyone to grasp the intricacies of the overall process.

(b) Causal Ambiguity: The second isolating mechanism is the result of the cause and effect relationships in the process that go unrecognised. As we have already argued, brand building involves a concerted interdisciplinary effort. Causal ambiguity operates primarily through word-of-mouth communication and media interpretation, which can play a large role in forming the image and reputation of the corporate brand. Such tertiary communication cannot be completely comprehended by either company managers or outside observers (cf., Balmer and Gray 1999).

Just as there is a mosaic of elements forming a corporate brand there are also numerous elements that can bring about its decline or demise. A failure to understand the company's business, cultures, identity, structure, and the impact of environmental forces are just some of these elements (Balmer 2001 a, p.4).

In sum, because of the social complexity and causal ambiguity implicit in the corporate brand building process, it is virtually impossible for a competitor to imitate a corporate brand. It is eminently possible, however, for a competitor to develop a superior substitute corporate brand.

Imperfect Substitutability

The substitutability threat suggests that a firm's valuable corporate brand can be vitiated or "upstaged" by a competitor's brand. This threat is the most difficult one to analyse and guard against. There is always a danger that your corporate brand will lose ground to a competitor (Dierickx and Cool 1989). In the early part of the last century, Montgomery Ward was the dominant corporate brand in the US retail industry but was overtaken by Sears Roebuck in the 1950s, which

more recently has been surpassed by Wal-Mart. IBM is no longer the paramount corporate brand in the computer industry and McDonald's is constantly looking over its shoulder at Burger King and others. McEvily, Das, and McCabe (2000) argue that there are strategies that companies can follow to diminish this threat.

The key strategy for protecting the corporate brand from a substitute brand is continuous improvement. This should be distinguished from the earlier articulated strategy of reinvesting, to protect the brand from depreciation. Continuous improvement requires investing on an ongoing basis in enhancing the factors that create value and moreover help to differentiate the brand. The nature of such improvements will, necessarily be industry and brand specific but will almost certainly include product and service performance, innovation, design, and style. This is no easy task, however, since it means committing the corporation to periodic, if not continuous, strategic change and innovation. Jack Welch, in his 20 years as CEO of General Electric, consistently emphasized change and improvement at all levels of the organization to stay ahead of competitors (*cf.*, Fortune pp.185-187). As a consequence, General Electric today has one of the strongest and most admired corporate brands in the world.

CONCLUSION

In an era where the boundaries between corporate entities have become less distinct, where there is a blurring of the margins between the external and external environment, and where traditional approaches to marketing have come under scrutiny, the corporate brand has emerged as a particularly salient and robust concept. In addressing the question of why a successful corporate brand is a sustainable, valuable strategic resource, we have argued that an answer can be found in the economic theory called "*the resourced-based view of the firm*". According to this theory, a corporate brand can provide a sustainable competitive advantage to a company if it is characterised by *value, rarity, durability, inappropriability, imperfect imitability, and imperfect substitutability*.

To us, corporate branding provides a powerful aperture by which to comprehend organisations and their interface with a myriad of stakeholder groups. The Latin phrase "*Vultus est index animi*" captures the awesome nature of corporate brands. Translated, the literal meaning of the phrase is: "*The expression on one's face is a sign of the*

soul." It takes only a small leap of imagination to realise that for many organisations the corporate brand is *the face* of the organisation. As such, when we regard a thriving corporate brand that has stood the test of time, we also see something of that organisation's identity. An identity that has been astutely nurtured and maintained over successive generations, that has enjoyed wide staff commitment to its ethos and values - a commitment that has in turn been reciprocated by those stakeholder groups who are crucial to the organisation's on-going success and continuance - furnishes a sound underpinning for a successful corporate brand.

We have explained the differences between corporate brand and corporate identities and have argued that the notion of the corporate brand is fundamentally different in several crucial aspects from its sister concepts of corporate and organisational identity. One crucial difference is that whilst the identity concept is applicable to entities of every hue, the corporate branding concept is *not*. Identity is a given necessary whereas corporate brands are contingent. An organisation's identity is a prerequisite to the establishment of a corporate brand. Every corporate brand has, so to speak, an ancestral home. *Quod erat demonstrandum*.^[2]

However, once established a corporate brand can have a life of its own: it can be bought, borrowed, sold and, in certain circumstances, be shared among a variety of organisations.

We have urged caution on the part of scholars and practitioners when contemplating brands: this is because corporate brands are crucially different from product brands in terms of their composition, constituencies, maintenance, management as well as disciplinary roots. Indeed, the emerging theory on corporate branding is largely antithetical to traditional approaches to branding. Indeed, the genesis of corporate branding is, to a significant degree, to be found within the literature on corporate and on organisational identity. Both literatures emphasise the importance of personnel and of staff affinities to sub cultures. The importance of adopting a multidisciplinary perspective on the part of corporate identity scholars has clearly influenced current thinking on corporate brands.

In this article we have advanced the view that the corporate brand covenant (the long-term commitment made between those who own as well as by the myriad of stakeholders who

"consume" the brand) should be viewed as an additional identity type: "*the covenanted identity*". Building on Balmer's (2001b) earlier model relating to the management of identities (The AC²ID Test TM) we argue that the effective management and maintenance of a corporate brand entails bringing in to alignment the various identity types with the covenanted identity/the corporate brand (see Balmer and Greyser 2003)

In addition, we have explored the boundary-spanning characteristics of corporate brands and have found that the existing tri-partite branding typology (monolithic, endorsed and branding) to be inadequate in the context of the contemporary business environment. Our analysis has resulted in an extension of this typology with six additional branding categories: *familial, multiplex, shared, surrogate, supra* and, *federal*. This reflects the different ways in which organisations utilise the corporate brand covenant. (Often a single corporate brand covenant is shared by different organisations).

We have noted that since the early 1990s there has been a growing chorus of execration from both practitioners and scholars at the failure on the part of scholars to face up to the changes presented by what we now call corporate brands. These protestations are, at last, being heard. Currently, corporate branding is generating considerable excitement and marketing scholars (as well as those from other disciplines) and account is being taken of the protestations of King and others. It comes with a realisation that corporate brands are not only redolent of our aeon but represent a missing dynamic in management thought and practice.

However, we are of the view the concept of the corporate brand is only *one* element of a much broader tableaux: a tableaux of which the concepts of corporate reputation and image, total corporate communications and, more significantly, corporate and organisation identity studies are integral. In their totality, these concepts afford a powerful means by which the corporation can be revealed, understood and managed. Not only do they represent a new gestalt of the corporation but also the building blocks of a new philosophy of management, that of "corporate level marketing" (Balmer and Greyser 2003).

In our estimation the Epiphany of corporate branding will herald a new episode of marketing's odyssey: namely: the Advent of Corporate-Level Marketing.

NOTES:

^[1] This section of this article is a development of our initial examination of corporate brands and the resourced base view of the corporation. See: Gray and Balmer 2001.

^[2] literal translation: which was to be proved

ABOUT THE SECOND AUTHOR

Edmund R. Gray, is Professor and Chair of the Department of Management at Loyola Marymount University, Los Angeles where he was previously co-director of the Small Business Institute Programme at LSU. He has authored or co-authored five books and over 70 articles, participated in numerous executive programmes, and consulted with a variety of organisations.

REFERENCES

- Aaker, D., and Joachimsthaler, E.A., (2000), "The Brand Relationship Spectrum: The Key to the Brand Architecture Challenge," *California Management Review*, Vol. 42, No. 4, pp. 8-23.
- Abratt, R. (1989), "A New Approach to the Corporate Image Management Process," *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 63-76.
- Albert, S. and Whetten, D. (1985), "Organizational Identity," In Cummings, L.C. and Staw, B.M. (Eds), *Research in Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 7, JAI Press, Greenwich, CT, pp. 263-269.
- Aldersey-Williams, H.(2000), "Ten Reasons Why Corporate Identity is Irrelevant," *RSA Journal*, Vol. CXLVIII, No. 5495, pp. 4-6.
- Ambler, T. and Barrow, S. (1996), "The Employer Brand" *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol. 4, No. 3, pp. 24-46.
- Amit, R. and Schoemaker, P.J. H. (1993), "Strategic Assets and Organizational Rent," *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 14, pp.33-46.
- Baker, M.J. and Balmer, J.M.T. (1997), "Visual Identity: Trappings or Substance ?" *Special Edition on Corporate Identity: European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 5 and 6, No. 3, pp. 366-382..
- Balmer, J.M.T. and Greyser, S.A. (2003), (Eds), *Revealing the Corporation: Perspectives on Identity, Image, Reputation, Corporate Branding and Corporate-Level Marketing*, Routledge, London.
- Balmer, J.M.T. and Greyser, S.A. (2002), "Managing the Multiple Identities of the Corporation," *California Management Review*, Vol. 44, No.3, (Spring), pp.72-86.
- Balmer, J.M.T. (2001), "Corporate Identity, Corporate Branding and Corporate Marketing: Seeing Through the Fog," *European Journal of Marketing: Special Edition on Corporate Identity and Corporate Marketing*, Vol. 35, No. 3 and 4, pp. 248-291.
- Balmer, J.M.T. (2001 a), "The Three Virtues and Seven Deadly Sins of Corporate Branding," *Journal of General Management*, Vol. 27, No. 1, pp.1-17.
- Balmer, J.M.T. (2001 b), "From the Pentagon: A New Identity Framework," *Corporate Reputation Review*, Vol. 4, No. 1 , pp. 11-21. .
- Balmer, J.M.T. and Gray, E.R. (1999), "Corporate Identity and Corporate Communications: Creating a Competitive Advantage," *Corporate Communications: An International Journal Special Edition on Corporate Identity*, Vol. 4, No. 4, pp. 171-176.
- Balmer, J.M.T. and Soenen, G.B. (1999), "The AC²ID Test™ of Corporate Identity Management," *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 15 , No. 1-3, pp.69-92.
- Balmer, J.M.T. and Wilson, A. (1998), "Corporate Identity: There is More To It Than Meets the Eye," *International Studies of Management and Organizations*, Vol. 28 , No. 3 , pp. 12-31.
- Balmer, J.M.T. (1995), "Corporate Branding and Connoisseurship," *Journal of General Management*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 24-46.
- Balmer, J.M.T. and Wilkinson, A. (1991), "Building Societies: Change, Strategy, and Corporate Identity," *Journal of General Management*, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 20-33.
- Barney, J. A. (1986), "Organizational Culture: Can It Be a Source of Sustained Competitive Advantage?" *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 11, No.3, pp. 656-664.
- Barney, J.A. and Hansen, M.H. (1994), "Trustworthiness as a Source of Competitive Advantage," *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 15, No. 5, pp. 175-190.
- Barwise, P., Dunham, A. and Ritson, M (2000), *Ties That Bind: Brands, Consumers and Businesses* In Pavitt, J. (Ed) *Brand New*, V&A Publications, London pp.71-108.
- Bernstein, D. (1989), "Corporate Void," *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 8, pp. 315-320.
- Bickerton, D. (2000), "Corporate Reputation Versus Corporate Branding: The Realist Debate," *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 42-48.
- Bitner, M. Booms, B. and Mohr, L. (1994), "Critical Service Encounters: the Employees' Viewpoint," *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 58, October, pp. 95-106.

- Collis, D.J. and Montgomery, C.A. (1995), "Competing on Resources: Strategy in the 1990s," *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 73, No. 4, pp. 118-128.
- DeChernatony, L. (1999), "Brand Management Through Narrowing the Gap Between Brand Identity and Brand Reputation," *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 15, No. 1-3, pp. 157-180.
- Diefenbach, J.M. (1982), "*Design As a Management Tool*," Unpublished Paper Delivered at the Design Management Institute Conference, December 9-10, Boston.
- Dierickx, I. and Cool, K. (1989), "Asset Stock Accumulation and sustainability of Competitive Advantage," *Management Science*, Vol. 35, No. 12, pp. 1504-1511.
- Dowling, G. (1993), "Developing Your Company Image Into a Corporate Asset," *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp 101-109.
- Elliot, R. and Wattanasuwan, K. (1998), "Brands as Symbolic Resources for the Construction of Identity," *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 17, No. 2. pp. 131-144.
- Ewing, M., Pitt, L. DeBussy N. and Berthou, P. (2002), "Employment Branding in the Knowledge Economy," *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 3-22.
- Fiol, C. Hatch, M.J. and Golden-Biddle, K. (1998), "Organizational Culture and Identity: What's the Difference Anyway?" In Whetten, D.A. and Godfrey, P. (Eds) *Identity in Organizations: Developing Theory Through Conversations*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, California, pp. 56-59.
- Fombrun C.J. and Van Riel C.B.M. (1998), "The Reputational Landscape," *Corporate Reputation Review*, Vol 1, No.1, pp.5-13
- Fortune, (1999), Vol.140, No.10, November.22, pp. 185-187.
- Galbraith, J. K. (1986), *The Anatomy of Power*, Hamish Hamilton, London.
- Gjols-Andersen, P. (2001), "*A Case Study of the Change of Brand Strategy in Lego From a Focus on the Famous Building Brick to Introducing a Broad Variety of Lego Products in the Children's Universe*," PhD Thesis, Copenhagen Business School, Denmark
- Grant, R.M. (1991), "The Resource-Based Theory of Competitive Advantage: Implication for Strategy Formulation," *California Management Review*, 33(3), pp. 114-135.
- Gray, E.R. and Balmer, J.M.T.(2001), "The Corporate Brand: A Strategic Asset," *Management in Practice*, No. 4, pp.1-4.
- Gray, E.R. and Balmer, J.M.T. (1998), "Managing Corporate Image and Corporate Reputation," *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 31, No.5, 1998, pp.695-702.
- Greyser, S.A. (1999), "Enhancing and Advancing Corporate Reputation," *Special Edition on Corporate Identity: Corporate Communications-An International Journal*, Vol. 4, No. 4, pp.177-181.
- Hankinson P. and Hankinson, G. (1999), "Managing Successful Brands: An Empirical Study which Compares the Corporate Cultures of Companies Managing the World's Top 100 Brands with Those Managing Outsider Brands," *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol 15. No. 1-3, pp 135-155.
- Harris, F. and DeChernatony, L. (2001), "Corporate Branding and Corporate Brand Performance," *European Journal of Marketing: Special Edition on Corporate Identity and Corporate Marketing*, Vol. 35, No. 3 and 4, pp.441-456.
- Hatch, M.J. and Schultz, M. (2001), "Are the Strategic Stars Aligned for your Corporate Brand ?" *Harvard Business Review*, February, pp. 128-134.
- Hatch, M.J. and Schultz, M. (1997), "Relations Between Organizational Culture, Identity and Image," *Special Edition on Corporate Identity: European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 31, No. 5, pp. 356-365.
- Hemsley, S. (1998), "Internal Affairs," *Marketing Week*, April 2, pp. 49-50 and 53.
- Hotchkiss, G.B. and Franken, R.B. (1923), *The Leadership of Advertised Brands*, Doubleday Page, New York.
- Ind, N. (1998), "An Integrated Approach to Corporate Branding," *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol. 5, No. 5, pp. 323-329.
- Ind, N. (1997), *The Corporate Brand*, McMillan, London.

- Johansson, J.K. and Hirano, M. (1999), "Brand Reality: The Japanese Perspective," *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 15, No. 1-3, pp. 93-105.
- Kay, J., (1995), *Foundations of Corporate Success*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Kapferer, J.N. (1997), *Strategic Brand Management*, 2nd Edition, Kogan Page, London.
- Kapferer, J.N. (1991), *Strategic Brand Management*, Kogan Page, London.
- Keller, K.L., (1999), "Brand Mantras: Rationale, Criteria and Examples," *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 15, No. 1-3, pp. 43-51.
- Keller, K.L. and Aaker, D.A. (1998), "Corporate Level Marketing: The Impact of Credibility Marketing on a Company's Brand Extensions," *Corporate Reputation Review*, Vol. 1, NO. 4, pp. 356-378.
- Keller, K.L. (1998), *Strategic Brand Management: Building, Measuring, and Managing Brand Equity*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Kennedy, S.H. (1977), "Nurturing Corporate Images-Total Communications or Ego Trip?" *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 11, pp. 120-164.
- King, S. (1991), "Brand Building in the 1990s", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp.3-13.
- Knox, S. and Maklan, S. (1998), *Competing on Value*. Financial Times/Pitman. London.
- LaForet, S., and Saunders, J.(1994), "Managing Brand Portfolios: How the Leaders Do It," *Journal of Advertising Research*, September-October, pp. 64-76.
- Levy, M. (1996), "Current Accounts and Baked Beans: Translating FMCG Principles to the Financial Sector," *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 95-99.
- Lewis, S. (2000),"Let's Get This in Perspective," Unpublished Presentation Given at the *Confederation of British Industry Branding and Brand Identity Seminar*, Bradford School of Management, England, 24 February.
- Macrae, C. (1999), Editorial. Special Edition on Brand Reality, *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 15, No. 1-3, pp 1-24.
- Macrae, C. (1996), *The Brand Chartering Handbook*, Addison-Wesley, London.
- Maathuis, O., (1999), "*Corporate Branding: The Value of the Corporate Brand to Customers and Managers*," Unpublished PhD Thesis, Erasmus University, Rotterdam.
- McDonald, M.H.B. and DeChernatony, L. and Harris, F. (2001), "Corporate Marketing and Service Brands," *European Journal of Marketing: Special Edition on Corporate Identity and Corporate Marketing*, Vol. 35, No 3 and 4, pp. 335-352.
- McEvily, S.K., Das,S. and McCabe, K. (2000),"Avoiding Competitive Substitution through Knowledge Sharing," *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 25, No. 2, pp. 294-311.
- Mitchell, A.(1997), "*Brand Strategies in the Information Age*," 2 Financial Times Report, London.
- Mitchell, A. (1999), "Out of the Shadows," *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 15, No. 1-3, pp. 25-42.
- Newman, K., (2001), "The Sorcerer's Apprentice ? Alchemy, Seduction and Confusion in Modern Marketing," *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 20, pp. 409-429.
- Olins, W. (2001), "Global Companies: The Inexorable Rise of the Corporate State?" The Second Lord Gould Memorial Lecture delivered at Templeton College, Oxford University, *European Journal of Marketing: Special Edition on Corporate Identity and Corporate Marketing*, Vol. 35, No. 3 and 4, pp. 485-496.
- Olins, W. (2000), "How Brands are Taking Over the Corporation," in Schultz, M., Hatch, M.J. and Larsen, M.H. (Eds) *The Expressive Organization*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 51-65.
- Opinion Research Corporation International (1999), *Global 100: Attitudes to Corporate Branding*, April.
- Pauvit, J. (2000), (Ed), *Brand New*, V&A Publications, London.

- Peteraf, M.A. (1993), "The Cornerstones of Competitive Advantage: A Resource-Based View," *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 14, pp. 179-191.
- Reed, R. and R.J. DeFillippi, R.J.D. (1990), "Causal Ambiguity, Barriers to Imitation, and Sustainable Competitive Advantage," *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 88-102.
- Schmitt, B. (1999), "Experimental Marketing", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 15, No 1-3, pp 53-67.
- Rindova, V. and Schultz, M. (1998), "Identity Within and Identity Without: Lessons From Corporate and Organizational Identity," In Whetten, D.A. and Godfrey, P. (Eds), *Identity in Organizations: Developing Theory Through Conversations*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, California, pp. 46-51.
- Schmidt, H. and Ludlow, C. (2002), *Inclusive Branding*, Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, Hampshire.
- Schneider, B. and Bowen, D. (1995), "Employee and Customer Perceptions of Service in Banks: Replication and Extension," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 70, pp. 423-433
- Schultz M, Hatch, M.J. and Larsen, M.H. (2000), (Ed), *The Expressive Organization*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Sherry, J. (1995), *Contemporary Marketing and Consumer Behaviour*, Sage, London.
- Shoker, A. Srivastava, R. and Ruekert, R. (1994), "Challenges and Opportunities Facing Brand Management: An Introduction to the Special Issue," *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 31, May, pp. 149-158.
- Simoës, C. and Dibb, S. (2001), "Rethinking the Brand Concept: New Brand Orientation," *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 4. pp. 217-224.
- Stuart, F.I. (1997), "The Influence of Organizational Culture and Internal Politics on New Service Design and Introduction," *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, Vol. 9, No. 5, pp. 469-485.
- Tilley, C. (1999), "Built-In Branding: How to Engineer a Leadership Brand," *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 15, No. 1-3, pp. 181-191.
- Urde, M. (1999), "Brand Orientation," *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 15. No. 1-3. pp 117-133.
- Urde, M. (1997), "Brand Orientation-Development of Brands as Strategic Assets and Protection Against Trademark Degeneration," PhD.Thesis, University of Lund: Lund University Press, Sweden.
- Urde, M. (1994), "Brand Orientation-A Strategy for Survival," *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 18-32.
- Van Riel, C.B.M. (1995), *Principles of Corporate Communication*, Prentice Hall, London.

LIST OF WORKING PAPER TITLES**2002**

- 02/33** – John M T Balmer & Edmund Gray
Comprehending Corporate Brands
- 02/32** – John M T Balmer
Mixed Up Over Identities
- 02/31** – Zoë J Douglas & Zoe J Radnor
Internal Regulatory Practices: Understanding the Cyclical Effects within the Organisation
- 02/30** – Barbara Myloni, Dr Anne-Wil Harzing & Professor Hafiz Mirza
A Comparative Analysis of HRM Practices in Subsidiaries of MNCs and Local Companies in Greece
- 02/29** – Igor Filatotchev
"Going Public with Good Governance": Board Selection and Share Ownership in UK IPO Firms
- 02/28** – Axele Giroud
MNEs in Emerging Economies: What Explains Knowledge Transfer to Local Suppliers
- 02/27** – Niron Hashai
Industry Competitiveness – The Role of Regional Sharing of Distance-Sensitive Inputs (The Israeli – Arab Case)
- 02/26** – Niron Hashai
Towards a Theory of MNEs from Small Open Economics – Static and Dynamic Perspectives
- 02/25** – Christopher Pass
Corporate Governance and The Role of Non-Executive Directors in Large UK Companies: An Empirical Study
- 02/24** – Deli Yang
The Development of the Intellectual Property in China
- 02/23** – Roger Beach
Operational Factors that Influence the Successful Adoption of Internet Technology in Manufacturing
- 02/22** – Niron Hashai & Tamar Almor
Small and Medium Sized Multinationals: The Internationalization Process of Born Global Companies
- 02/21** – M Webster & D M Sugden
A Proposal for a Measurement Scale for Manufacturing Virtuality
- 02/20** – Mary S Klemm & Sarah J Kelsey
Catering for a Minority? Ethnic Groups and the British Travel Industry
- 02/19** – Craig Johnson & David Philip Spicer
The Action Learning MBA: A New Approach Management Education
- 02/18** – Lynda M Stansfield
An Innovative Stakeholder Approach to Management Education: A Case Study
- 02/17** – Igor Filatotchev, Mike Wright, Klaus Uhlenbruck, Laszlo Tihanyi & Robert Hoskisson
Privatization and Firm Restructuring in Transition Economies: The Effects of Governance and Organizational Capabilities
- 02/16** – Mike Tayles, Andrew Bramley, Neil Adshead & Janet Farr
Dealing with the Management of Intellectual Capital: The Potential Role of Strategic Management Accounting
- 02/15** – Christopher Pass
Long-Term Incentive Schemes, Executive Remuneration and Corporate Performance
- 02/14** – Nicholas J Ashill & David Jobber
An Empirical Investigation of the Factors Affecting the Scope of Information Needed in a MkIS
- 02/13** – Bill Lovell, Dr Zoe Radnor & Dr Janet Henderson
A Pragmatic Assessment of the Balanced Scorecard: An Evaluation use in a NHS Multi-Agency Setting in the UK
- 02/12** – Zahid Hussain & Donal Flynn
Validating the Four-Paradigm Theory of Information Systems Development
- 02/11** – Alexander T Mohr & Simone Klein
The Adjustment of American Expatriate Spouses in Germany – A Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis
- 02/10** – Riyadh Eid & Myfanwy Trueman
The Adoption of The Internet for B-to-B International Marketing
- 02/09** – Richard Pike & Nam Cheng
Trade Credit, Late Payment and Asymmetric Information
- 02/08** – Alison J Killingbeck & Myfanwy M Trueman
Redrawing the Perceptual Map of a City
- 02/07** – John M T Balmer
Corporate Brands: Ten Years On – What's New?

02/06 – Dr Abdel Moniem Ahmed & Professor Mohamed Zairi
Customer Satisfaction: The Driving Force for Winning Business Excellence Award

02/05 – John M T Balmer & Stephen A Greyser
Managing the Multiple Identities of the Corporation

02/04 – David Philip Spicer
Organizational Learning & The Development of Shared Understanding: Evidence in Two Public Sector Organizations

02/03 – Tamar Almor & Niron Hashai
Configurations of International Knowledge-Intensive SMEs: Can the Eclectic Paradigm Provide a Sufficient Theoretical Framework?

02/02 – Riyadh Eid, Myfanwy Trueman & Abdel Moniem Ahmed
The Influence of Critical Success Factors on International Internet Marketing

02/01 – Niron Hashai
The Impact of Distance Sensitivity and Economics of Scale on the Output and Exports of Israel and its Arab Neighbours

2001

01/18 – Christopher M Dent
Transnational Capital, the State and Foreign Economic Policy: Singapore, South Korea and Taiwan

01/17 – David P Spicer & Eugene Sadler-Smith
The General Decision Making Style Questionnaire: A Confirmatory Analysis

01/16 – David P Spicer
Expanding Experimental Learning: Linking Individual and Organisational Learning, Mental Models and Cognitive Style

01/15 – E Grey & J Balmer
Ethical Identity; What is it? What of it?

01/14 – Mike Tayles & Colin Drury
Autopsy of a Stalling ABC System: A Case Study of Activity Based Cost Management and Performance Improvement

01/13 – N Esho, R Zurbrugg, A Kirievsky & D Ward
Law and the Dominants of International Insurance Consumption

01/12 – J Andrews Coutts & Kwong C Cheung
Trading Rules and Stock Returns: Some Preliminary Short Run Evidence from the Hang Seng 1985-1997

01/11 – D McKechnie & S Hogarth-Scott
Linking Internal Service Encounters and Internal Transactions: Unravelling Internal Marketing Contract Workers

01/10 – M Webster & D M Sugden
Operations Strategies for the Exploitation of Protected Technology: Virtual Manufacture as an Alternative to Outward licensing

01/09 – Axèle Giroud
Buyer-Supplier Transfer and Country of Origin: An Empirical Analysis of FDI in Malaysia

01/08 – Damian Ward
Do Independent Agents Reduce Life Insurance Companies' Free Cash Flow?

01/07 – Daragh O'Reilly
Corporate Images in 'Jerry Maguire': A Semiotic Analysis

01/06 – Tony Lindley & Daragh O'Reilly
Brand Identity on the Arts Sector

01/05 – M Trueman, J Balmer & D O'Reilly
Desperate Dome, Desperate Measures! Managing Innovation at London's Millennium Dome

01/04 – M Trueman, M Klemm, A Giroud & T Lindley
Bradford in the Premier League? A Multidisciplinary Approach to Branding and Re-positioning a City

01/03 – A Harzing
Self Perpetuating Myths and Chinese Whispers

01/02 – M Webster
Supply Systems Structure, Management and Performance: A Research Agenda

01/01 – A Harzing
Acquisitions Versus Greenfield Investments: Exploring the Impact of the MNC's International Strategy

2000

0031 – John Ritchie & Sue Richardson
Leadership and Misleadership in Smaller Business Governance

0030 – Mary Klemm
Tourism and Ethnic Minorities in Bradford: Concepts and Evidence

0029 – (not available)

- 0028** – (not available)
- 0027** – Axèle Giroud
Determinant Factors of the Degree of Supply-Related Technology Transfer: A Comparative Analysis Between Asian Affiliates
- 0026** – A Cullen, M Webster & A Muhlemann
Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Systems: Definitions, Functionality and the Contribution to Global Operations
- 0025** – B Chennoufi & M Klemm
Managing Cultural Differences in a Global Environment
- 0024** – (not available)
- 0023** – Simon Best & Devashish Pujari
Internet Marketing Effectiveness: An Exploratory Examination in Tourism Industry
- 0022** – Dr Myfanwy Tureman
Divided Views, Divided Loyalties: Changing Customer Perceptions by Design
- 0021** – Yasar Jarrar
Becoming World Class Through a Culture of Measurement
- 0020** – David Spicer & Eugene Sadler-Smith
Cognitive Style & Decision Making
- 0019** – Z J Radnor & R Boaden
A Test for Corporate Anorexia
- 0018** – (not available)
- 0017** – Peter Prowse
Public Service Union Recruitment Workplace Recovery or Stagnation in a Public Services Union? Evidence From a Regional Perspective
- 0016** – Yasar F Jarrar & Mohamed Zairi
Best Practice Transfer for Future Competitiveness: A Study of Best Practices
- 0015** – Mike Tayles & Colin Drury
Cost Systems and Profitability Analysis in UK Companies: Selected Survey Findings
- 0014** – B Myloni & A Harzing
Transferability of Human Resource Management Practices Across Borders: A European Reflection on Greece
- 0013** – (not available)
- 0012** – Nick J Freeman
Asean Investment Area: Progress and Challenges
- 0011** – Arvid Flagestad & Christine A Hope
A Model of Strategic Success in Winter Sports Destinations: the Strategic Performance Pyramid
- 0010** – M Poon, R Pike & D Tjosvold
Budget Participation, Goal Interdependence and Controversy: A Study of a Chinese Public Utility
- 0009** – Patricia C Fox, John M T Balmer & Alan Wilson
Applying the Acid Test of Corporate Identity Management
- 0008** – N Y Ashry & W A Taylor
Information Systems Requirements Analysis in Healthcare: Diffusion or Translation?
- 0007** – T Lindley, D O'Reilly & T Casey
An Analysis of UK Television Advertisements for Alcohol
- 0006** – Eric Lindley & Frederick Wheeler
The Learning Square: Four Domains that Impact on Strategy
- 0005** – K K Lim, P K Ahmed & M Zairi
The Role of Sharing Knowledge in Management Initiatives
- 0004** – C De Mattos & S Sanderson
Expected Importance of Partners' Contributions to Alliances in Emerging Economies: A Review
- 0003** – A Harzing
Acquisitions Versus Greenfield Investments: Both Sides of the Picture
- 0002** – Stuart Sanderson & Claudio De Mattos
Alliance Partners' Expectations Concerning Potential Conflicts and Implications Relative to Trust Building
- 0001** – A Harzing
An Empirical Test and Extension of the Bartlett & Ghoshal Typology of Multinational Companies
-
- 1999**
- 9922** – Gerry Randell & Maria del Pilar Rodriguez
Managerial Ethical Behaviour
- 9921** – N Y Ashry & W A Taylor
Requirements Analysis as Innovation Diffusion: A Proposed Requirements Analysis Strategy for the Development of an Integrated Hospital Information Support System
- 9920** – C Hope
My Way's The Right Way! Or, With Particular Reference to Teaching on Tourism Courses, is 'Best Practice' in Operations Management Dependent Upon National Culture?
- 9919** – A Harzing
Of Bumble-Bees and Spiders: The Role of Expatriates in Controlling Foreign Subsidiaries
- 9918** – N Y Ashry & W A Taylor
Who will take the Garbage Out? The Potential of Information Technology for Clinical Waste Management in the NHS
- 9917** – D O'Reilly
Nice Video(?), Shame about the Scam... Paedagogical Rhetoric Meets Commercial Reality at Stew Leonard's
- 9916** – A Harzing
The European Monolith: Another Myth in International Management?
- 9915** – S MacDougall & R Pike
The Influence of Capital Budgeting Implementation on Real Options: A Multiple-Case Study of New Technology Investments
- 9914** – C Pass, A Robinson & D Ward
Performance Criteria of Corporate Option and Long-Term Incentive Plans: A Survey of 150 UK Companies 1994-1998
- 9913** – R Beach, A P Muhlemann, D H R Price, J A Sharp & A Paterson
Strategic Flexibility and Outsourcing in Global networks
- 9912** – H M Stewart, C A Hope & A P Muhlemann
The Legal Profession, Networks and Service Quality
- 9911** – J F Keane
Design and the Management Paradigms of Self-Organisation
- 9910** – D O'Reilly
On the Precipice of a Revolution with Hamel and Prahalad
- 9909** – S Cameron & D Ward
Abstinence, Excess, Success?: Alcohol, Cigarettes, Wedlock & Earnings
- 9908** – M Klemm & J Rawel
Eurocamp – Strategic Development and Internationalisation in a European Context
- 9907** – M Webster & R Beach
Operations Network Design, Manufacturing Paradigms and the Subcontractor
- 9906** – D Ward
Firm Behaviour and Investor Choice: A Stochastic Frontier Analysis of UK Insurance
- 9905** – D Ward, C Pass & A Robinson
LTIPS and the Need to Examine the Diversity of CEO Remuneration
- 9904** – C Smallman
Knowledge Management as Risk Management: The Need for Open Corporate Governance
- 9903** – R Beach, D Price, A Muhlemann & J Sharp
The Role of Qualitative Research in the Quest for Strategic Flexibility
- 9902** – N Hiley & C Smallman
Predicting Corporate Failure: A Literature Review
- 9901** – M Trueman
Designing Capital: Using Design to Enhance and Control Technological Innovation
-
- 1998**
- 9826** – A Harzing
Cross-National Industrial Mail Surveys: Why do Response Rates Differ Between Countries?
- 9825** – B Dewsnap and D Jobber
The Sales-Marketing Interface: A Synthesis of Theoretical Perspectives and Conceptual Framework
- 9824** – C De Mattos
Advantageous Executives' Characteristics in Establishing Biotechnology Alliances in an Emerging Economy: The Case of Brazil
- 9823** – C A Howorth
An Empirical Examination of the Usefulness of the Cash Conversion Cycle
- 9822** – A Harzing
Who's in Charge? An Empirical Study of Executive Staffing Practices in Foreign Subsidiaries
- 9821** – N Wakabayashi & J Gill
Perceptive Differences in Interorganizational Collaboration and Dynamics of Trust
- 9820** – C Smallman
Risk Perception: State of the Art

- 9819** – C Smallman
The Breadth of Perceived Risk: Why Integrated Risk Management of Health, Safety & Environmental Risks is only the End of the Beginning
- 9818** – P S Budhwar, A Popof & D Pujari
Evaluating Sales Management Training at Xerox in Greece: An Exploratory Study
- 9817** – W A Taylor
An Information-Based Perspective on Knowledge Capture in Business Processes
- 9816** – S Hogarth-Scott
Category Management Relationships: Is it Really Trust Where Choice is Limited?
- 9815** – W A Taylor
Sustaining Innovation in Organisations: Managing the Intangibles A Study of TQM Implementation in Northern Ireland Organisations 1991-1996
- 9814** – M Webster, A Muhlemann and C Alder
Subcontract Manufacture in Electronics Assembly: A Survey of Industry Practice
- 9813** – M J S Hary
Is Object-Orientation Subject-Oriented?: Conflicting and Unresolved Philosophies in Object-Oriented Information Systems Development Methodology
- 9812** – J Jackson
The Introduction of Japanese Continuous Improvement Practices to a Traditional British Manufacturing Site: The Case of RHP Bearings (Ferrybridge)
- 9811** – C De Mattos
A Comparative Study Between Perceptions of British and German Executives, in the Biotechnology Sector, Relative to Potential Future Contributions of Greatest Importance to and from Transnational Alliance Partners in Emerging Economies
- 9810** – J Martin-Hirsch & G Wright
The Cost of Customer Care – A Value Analysis of Service Delivery Approaches
- 9809** – J Martin-Hirsch & G Wright
A Service Provider's View of Success Factors in Alternative Service Strategies
- 9808** – J Martin-Hirsch & G Wright
A Professional's Evaluation of Alternative Service Delivery Regimes for Customer Care and Satisfaction
- 9807** – J Martin-Hirsch & G Wright
A User's Perspective of Alternative Service Delivery: A Comparative Study of the Evaluation of Service Strategies
- 9806** – J Martin-Hirsch & G Wright
The Case for Choice in Health Care: A Comparison of Traditional and Team Midwifery in Effective Service Provision
- 9805** – M Woods, M Fedorkow and M Smith
Modelling the Learning Organisation
- 9804** – W A Taylor
An Action Research Study of Knowledge Management in Process Industries
- 9803** – C Singleton
Quantitative and Qualitative – Bridging the Gap Between Two Opposing Paradigms
- 9802** – R McClements & C Smallman
Managing in the New Millennium: Reflections on Change, Management and the Need for Learning
- 9801** – P Eyre & C Smallman
Euromanagement Competencies in Small and Medium Sized Enterprises: A Development Path for the New Millennium
-
- 1997**
- 9729** – C Smallman
Managerial Perceptions of Organisational Hazards and their Associated Risks
- 9728** – C Smallman & D Weir
Managers in the Year 2000 and After: A Strategy for Development
- 9727** – R Platt
Ensuring Effective Provision of Low Cost Housing Finance in India: An In-Depth case Analysis
- 9726** – (not available)
- 9725** – (not available)
- 9724** – S Estrin, V Perotin, A Robinson & N Wilson
Profit-Sharing Revisited: British and French Experience Compared
- 9723** – (not available)
- 9722** – R Beach, A P Muhlemann, A Paterson, D H R Price and J A Sharp
Facilitating Strategic Change in Manufacturing Industry
- 9721** – R Beach, A P Muhlemann, A Paterson, D H R Price and J A Sharp
The Strategy Options in Manufacturing Industry: Propositions Based on Case Histories
- 9720** – A Giroud
Multinational Firms Backward Linkages in Malaysia: A Comparison between European and Asian Firms in the Electrical and Electronics Sector
- 9719** – L Kening
Foreign Direct Investment in China: Performance, Climate and Impact
- 9718** – H Mirza
Towards a Strategy for Enhancing ASEAN's Locational Advantages for Attracting Greater Foreign Direct Investment
- 9717** – B Summers & N Wilson
An Empirical Study of the Demand for Trade Credit in UK Manufacturing Firms
- 9716** – R Butler & J Gill
Reliable Knowledge and Trust in Partnership Formation
- 9715** – R Butler
Stories and Experiments in Organisational Research
- 9714** – M Klemm & L Parkinson
British Tour Operators: Blessing or Blight
- 9713** – C A Hope
What Does Quality Management Mean for Tourism Companies and Organisations?
- 9712** – S Hogarth-Scott & P Dapiran
Do Retailers and Suppliers Really have Collaborative Category Management Relationships?: Category Management Relationships in the UK and Australia
- 9711** – C De Mattos
The Importance of Potential Future Contributions from/to Transnational Joint Venture Partners: Perception of Brazilian Managing Directors and Specialists Linked to Biotechnology
- 9710** – N T Ibrahim & F P Wheeler
Are Malaysian Corporations Ready for Executive Information Systems?
- 9709** – F P Wheeler & A W Nixon
Monitoring Organisational Knowledge in Use
- 9708** – M Tayles & C Drury
Scoping Product Costing Research: A Strategy for Managing the Product Portfolio – Cost System Design
- 9707** – N Wilson, B Summers & C Singleton
Small Business Demand for Trade Credit, Credit Rationing and the Late Payment of Commercial Debt: An Empirical Study
- 9706** – R Beach, A P Muhlemann, A Paterson, D H R Price & J A Sharp
The Management Information Systems as a Source of Flexibility: A Case Study
- 9705** – E Marshall
Business Ethics: The Religious Dimension
- 9704** – M Wright, N Wilson & K Robbie
The Longer Term Effects of Management-Led Buy-Outs
- 9703** – G Hopkinson & S Hogarth Scott
Quality of Franchise Relationships: The Implications of Micro Economic Theories of Franchising
- 9702** – G C Hopkinson & S Hogarth-Scott
Channel Conflict: Critical Incidents or Telling Tales. Methodologies Compared
- 9701** – K Watson, S. Hogarth-Scott & N Wilson
Marketing Success Factors and Key Tasks in Small Business Development
-
- 1996**
- 9619** – B Summers & N Wilson
Trade Credit Management and the Decision to use Factoring: An Empirical Study
- 9618** – M Hiley & H Mirza
The Economic Prospects of ASEAN : The Role of AFTA in the Future Development of the Region
- 9617** – A Brown
Prospects for Japanese Foreign Direct Investment in Thailand
- 9616** – H Mirza, K H Wee & F Bartels
The Expansion Strategies of Triad Corporations in East Asia
- 9615** – M Demirbag & H Mirza
Inter-Partner Reliance, Exchange of Resources & Partners' Influence on JV's Strategy

- 9614** – R H Pike & N S Cheng
Motives for Investing in Accounts Receivable: Theory and Evidence
- 9613** - R H Pike & N S Cheng
Business Trade Credit Management: Experience of Large UK Firms
- 9612** – R Elliott, S Eccles & K Gourmay
Man Management? Women and the Use of Debt to Control Personal Relationships
- 9611** – R Elliott, S Eccles & K Gourmay
Social Support, Personal Relationships & Addictive Consumption
- 9610** – M Uncles & A Manaresi
Relationships Among Retail Franchisees and Franchisors: A Two-Country Study
- 9609** – S Procter
Quality in Maternity Services: Perceptions of Managers, Clinicians and Consumers'
- 9608** – S Hogarth-Scott & G P Dapiran
Retailer-Supplier Relationships: An Integrative Framework Based on Category Management Relationships
- 9607** – N Wilson, S Hogarth-Scott & K Watson
Factors Contributing to Entrepreneurial Success in New Start Small Businesses
- 9606** – R Beach, A P Muhlemann, A Paterson, D H R Price & J A Sharp
The Evolutionary Development of the Concept Manufacturing Flexibility
- 9605** – B Summers
Using Neural Networks for Credit Risk Management: The Nature of the Models Produced
- 9604** – P J Buckley & M Carter
The Economics of Business Process Design: Motivation, Information & Coordination Within the Firm
- 9603** – M Carter
Is the Customer Always Right? Information, Quality and Organisational Architecture
- 9602** – D T H Weir
Why Does the Pilot Sit at the Front? And Does it Matter?
- 9601** – R A Rayman
A Proposal for Reforming the Tax System

1995

- 9506** – A L Riding & B Summers
Networks that Learn and Credit Evaluation
- 9505** – R A Rayman
The Income Concept: A Flawed Ideal?
- 9504** – S Ali & H Mirza
Market Entry Strategies in Poland: A Preliminary Report
- 9503** – R Beach, A P Muhlemann, A Paterson, D H.R Price & J A Sharp
An Adaptive Literature Search Paradigm
- 9502** – A S C Ehrenberg & M Uncles
Direchlet-Type Markets: a Review, Part 2: Applications & Implications
- 9501** – M Uncles & A S C Ehrenberg
Direchlet-Type Markets: A Review, Part 1: Patterns and Theory

1994

- 9411** – R A Rayman
The Real-Balance Effect Fallacy and The Failure of Unemployment Policy
- 9410** – R A Rayman
The Myth of 'Says' Law
- 9409** not issued
- 9408** not issued
- 9407** not issued
- 9406** not issued
- 9405** – F Bartels & N Freeman
Multinational Enterprise in Emerging Markets: International Joint Ventures in Côte D'Ivoire Vietnam
- 9404** – E Marshall
The Single Transferable Vote – A Necessary Refinement Abstract
- 9403** – G R Dowling & M Uncles
Customer Loyalty programs: Should Every Firm Have One?
- 9402** – N Wilson, A Pendleton & M Wright
The impact of Employee Ownership on Employee Attitudes: Evidence from UK ESOPS
- 9401** – N Wilson & M J Peel
Working Capital & Financial Management Practices in the Small Firm Sector

1993

- 9310** – R Butler, L Davies, R Pike & J Sharp
Effective Investment Decision-Making: The Concept and its Determinants no longer available
- 9309** – A Muhlemann, D Price, M Afferson & J Sharp
Manufacturing Information Systems as a Means for Improving the Quality of Production Management Decisions in Smaller Manufacturing Enterprises
- 9308** – F P Wheeler, R J Thomas & S H Chang
Towards Effective Executive Information Systems
- 9307** – F P Wheeler, S H Chang & R J Thomas
The Transition from an Executive Information System to Everyone's Information System: Lessons from a Case Study
- 9306** – S H Chang, F P Wheeler & R J Thomas
Modelling Executive Information Needs
- 9305** – S. Braga Rodrigues & D Hickson
Success in Decision Making: Different Organisations, Differing Reasons for Success.
- 9304** – R J Butler, R S Turner, P D Coates, R H Pike & D H R Price
Ideology, Technology and Effectiveness
- 9303** – R J Butler, R S Turner, P D Coates, R H Pike & D H R Price
Strategy, Structure and Technology
- 9302** – R J Butler, R S Turner, P D Coates, R H Pike & D H R Price
Competitive Strategies and New Technology
- 9301** – R J Butler, R S Turner, P D Coates, R H Pike & D H R Price
Investing in New Technology for Competitive Advantage

Copies of the Proceedings of the Arab Management Conferences are available for purchase at a cost of £40.00 per volume.

Copies of the above papers can be obtained by contacting the Research Secretary at the address below:

Bradford University School of Management
Emm Lane
Bradford
West Yorkshire
BD9 4JL

Tel: 01274 234323 (mornings only)
Fax: 01274 546866